SUSTAINABLE FOOD cities

Making the case and measuring progress: towards a systems approach to healthy and sustainable food

Sustainable Food Cities – Cardiff University





3.05 - 3.30

Agenda

- 2 2.05 Housekeeping
- 2.05 2.20 1- Sustainable Food Cities & the problem of measuring impact, Alizee Marceau
- 2.20 2.40 2- Linking research and practice how the project came together, Dr. Ana Moragues Faus
- 2.40 3 3 Drilling into the details how this toolbox works, Alizee Marceau
- 3 3.054 Measuring impact: a work in progress, Dr.Ana Moragues Faus







1- Sustainable Food Cities & the problem of measuring impact

Alizee Marceau









www.sustainablefoodcities.org



The Sustainable Food Cities approach is about...

- Creating a city-wide cross-sector partnership of public agencies (health, environment, economy), businesses, NGOs, community organisations and academic bodies.
- Developing a **joint vision** and **common goals** on how healthy and sustainable food can become a defining characteristic of their city.
- Develop and implementing an **action plan** that leads to significant measurable improvements in all aspects of food, health and sustainability.

"It is about completely re-imagining, and ultimately reshaping, a city (or town, borough, district, county) through the lens of good food"





Six key issues

- 1. Promoting healthy and sustainable food to the public.
- 2. Tackling food poverty and increasing access to affordable healthy food.
- 3. Building community food knowledge, skills, resources and projects.
- 4. Promoting a vibrant and diverse sustainable food economy.

Eg:

Action 1: Support new sustainable food entrepreneurs Action 2: Protect and/or re-establish vital food infrastructure Etc...

- 5. Transforming catering and food procurement.
- Reducing waste and the ecological footprint of the food system.





Feeding the 5000 credit Brighton and Hove Food Partnership



Food Cardiff School Holiday Enrichment Programme



Bath and North East Somerset Community Farm

Love Food Hate Waste in partnership with Food Cardiff



The Awards structure...

... is designed to recognise and celebrate the success of those places taking a placebased, systems approach to food and that are achieving significant positive change on all key food issues.







The Sustainable Food Cities model is...

- <u>place-based</u>: action focused around cities and city-regions
- <u>systems approach</u>: focused around improving all aspects of the food system





Problem of measuring impact

Practitioner

How can I show the impact my project is having?

Policy maker

How can I be confident that my limited resources are being invested effectively to achieve my outcomes?





2- Linking research and practice - how the project came together

Dr. Ana Moragues Faus





A participatory action research process

Demand from SFCN and other cities to measure impact: The future of our food

Measuring progress and impact from the bottom-up:

- Practitioners needs, perspectives and knowledge
- Useful: toolbox
- First step in the journey: ESRC Impact Accelerator Account

Methodology:

- 1. Literature review
- 2. Workshops
- 3. Consultation
- 4. Application





Literature review

Local level: **Developing tools for mapping and assessing sustainable city region food systems (CityFoodTools)** RUAF Foundation (coordination), Laurier Centre for Sustainable Food Systems, FAO-Food for Cities

National level: **Sustainable food system indicators for the UK** (UK Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs, DEFRA 2013)

International level: Sustainable Development Goals Indicators (UN, 2016)

Prosperi, P.; Moragues-Faus, A.; Sonnino, R. and Devereux, C. (2015) Measuring progress towards sustainable food cities: Sustainability and food security indicators. Report of the ESRC financed Project "Enhancing the Impact of Sustainable Urban Food Strategies". Access: <u>http://sustainablefoodcities.org/getstarted/developingindicators</u> c





Participatory workshops

Cardiff on the 5th of November 2015 London on the 2nd of December 2015 Edinburgh on the 10th of February 2016 Liverpool on the 22nd of March 2016











Basic definitions

Frameworks to develop indicators: theme-based and goal oriented

Goal	An overarching aim, e.g. heathy cities
Outcome	A state or position which is reached in order that the goal is achieved, e.g. Low incidence of diet-related illnesses.
Indicators	A measure of progress towards delivery of an outcome, that is, an increase/improvement/change in /movement in a relevant and measurable parameter; e.g. decrease in the number of diet- related illnesses





SUSTAINABILITY	GOVERNANCE	HEALTH	ECONOMY	ENVIRONMENT
Goals				





SMART Criteria:

Specific Measurable Achievable Relevant Time-bound

Indicators Criteria

A SUSTAINABLE FOOD CITY Measuring progress. Indicators should ... not bo resource intensive op. not apparature Resource light use lexisting data as far as possible 8 does it metter who tor the impact what's already there what we've dong long time to become quantitative measures to measure impact · qualitative e cosy not requiring too to communicate - communicable · determined by the audience · purpose of indictor is understood by those using it communicating it · indicators should work together - esp. proxy measures · basket of indicators to use · place-specific Not exclusive/ - a resource for people to choose from IMPORTANT is is what und criteria * Not measures of what we're doing *Indicators of whether we're having an impact Norting? Note: you can be part of SFON ithout applying for an award actions we feel are important t elways fit award criteria





Indicators Criteria

Available	- easy to gather information
	- open source and shareable
	- using what's already there
Low cost	- resource-light in terms of time, people
	- inexpensive to collect (where appropriate)
	- recognise that some indicators will require investment and resources
Accessible	- easy to understand and communicate
	- meaningful to relevant audiences, especially decision-makers
Relevant &	 measuring things that actually have an impact – causality
useful	- measuring progress towards Sustainable Food City outcomes
	- measuring things that practically encourage positive change
Comparable	- capable of being compared between different places and at the same
	place at different times
Timed	 measureable regularly throughout the process
	 consistent in terms of the time period being measured
	 easy to repeat at different stages in the process
Credible	 reliable, robust, consistent and rigorously collected
	 transparent and with confidence in their validity
	- not based on assumptions
Collectively-	- collected and owned by programme participants i.e. not requiring
generated	external expertise (unless unavoidable)
Diverse	 a variety of different types of measures – a basket of options
	- both qualitative and quantitative
	- capable of being used in conjunction with each other – triangulation
	when specific or direct indicator is not found





Identifying indicators







Selecting indicators







Building a framework

SUSTAINABILITY GOALS	GOVERNANCE	HEALTH (Wellbeing)	ECONOMY	ENVIRONMENT
META INDICATORS (Outcomes)				
		PARTNERSHIPS &	COLLABORATION	
LEVERS		POLICIES &	STRATEGIES	
FOR		INFRAST	RUCTURE	
CHANGE (Proxy indicators)		PUBLIC SERVIC	ES & SUPPORT	
		KNOWLEDGE	& AWARENESS	
		MARKET BASEI) MECHANISMS	
EVIDENCE	PUI	BLISHED RESEARCH, ADVISOR	Y DOCUMENTS & CASE STU	DIES





Application

























Consultation

Ongoing:

- SFC network members
- Experts and practitioners from different organisations: city council, public health, WRAP, etc.
- International experts

Still open!

a) Do the **purpose and utility** of this document and its conceptual framework appear clearly? Do you consider it to be useful for your programmes/projects?

b) Are there any meta indicators, activities, case studies or pieces of evidence missing?





3 - Drilling into the details – how this toolbox works

Alizee Marceau





Conceptual framework

SUSTAINABILITY GOALS	GOVERNANCE	HEALTH (Wellbeing)	ECONOMY	ENVIRONMENT	
META INDICATORS (Outcomes)				1	
		PARTNERSHIPS &	COLLABORATION		
LEVERS		POLICIES &	STRATEGIES		
FOR		INFRAST	RUCTURE		
CHANGE (Proxy indicators)		PUBLIC SERVIC	ES & SUPPORT		
		KNOWLEDGE	& AWARENESS		
		MARKET BASEI	D MECHANISMS	C	RDIFF
EVIDENCE	PU	BLISHED RESEARCH, ADVISOI	RY DOCUMENTS & CASE ST	JDIES UN	IVERSITY
				C	ERDY



Goals

Dimension	Health	Economy	Environment
Goal	Improving physical and mental	Creating new and sustainable	Reducing the negative
	health and wellbeing by	jobs and businesses as part of	ecological and ethical impacts
	reducing food poverty;	a vibrant, culturally diverse and	of the food system from
	improving access to affordable	prosperous local food economy	production, processing and
	healthy food; promoting healthy	that provides fair and equitable	distribution to consumption and
	weight and healthy diets; and	economic benefits to all actors	waste, including GHG
	increasing participation in food	involved in both local and global	emissions, soil and water
	related physical and social	supply chains.	degradation, biodiversity loss,
	activity.		waste and poor animal welfare.





Conceptual framework

SUSTAINABILITY GOALS	GOVERNANCE	HEALTH (Wellbeing)	ECONOMY	ENVIRONMENT	
META INDICATORS (Outcomes)				1	
		PARTNERSHIPS &	COLLABORATION		
LEVERS		POLICIES &	STRATEGIES		
FOR		INFRAST	RUCTURE		
CHANGE (Proxy indicators)		PUBLIC SERVIC	ES & SUPPORT		
		KNOWLEDGE	& AWARENESS		
		MARKET BASEI	D MECHANISMS	C	RDIFF
EVIDENCE	PU	BLISHED RESEARCH, ADVISOI	RY DOCUMENTS & CASE ST	JDIES UN	IVERSITY
				C	ERDY

SUSTAINABLE FOOD cities

Meta-indicators

	Health	Economy	Environment
Outcomes	Decrease in the number of people requiring emergency food aid	Increase in the number of jobs in the local food economy	Decrease in food related greenhouse gas emissions (GHG)
(meta indicators)	Decrease in the number of people overweight or obese Decrease in the number of people malnourished Decrease in the consumption of salt, sugar, fat and meat	Increase in the amount of money circulating in the local food economy Increase in gross value added within the local food economy Increase in the number of viable independent local food businesses	Decrease in the consumption of meat and meat-based products Decrease in the consumption of highly processed products Increase in the consumption of seasonal fruit and vegetables





Conceptual framework

SUSTAINABILITY GOALS	GOVERNANCE	HEALTH (Wellbeing)	ECONOMY	ENVIRONMENT	
META INDICATORS (Outcomes)				1	
		PARTNERSHIPS &	COLLABORATION		
LEVERS		POLICIES &	STRATEGIES		
FOR		INFRAST	RUCTURE		
CHANGE (Proxy indicators)		PUBLIC SERVIC	ES & SUPPORT		
		KNOWLEDGE	& AWARENESS		
		MARKET BASEI	D MECHANISMS	C	RDIFF
EVIDENCE	PU	BLISHED RESEARCH, ADVISOI	RY DOCUMENTS & CASE ST	JDIES UN	IVERSITY
				C	ERDY



Levers for change

Dimension	Health	Economy	Environment
Goal	Improving physical and mental health	Creating new and sustainable jobs and	Reducing the negative ecological and
	and wellbeing by reducing food	businesses as part of a vibrant,	ethical impacts of the food system
	poverty; improving access to	culturally diverse and prosperous local	from production, processing and
	affordable healthy food; promoting	food economy that provides fair and	distribution to consumption and
	healthy weight and healthy diets; and	equitable economic benefits to all	waste, including GHG emissions, soil
	increasing participation in food related	actors involved in both local and global	and water degradation, biodiversity
	physical and social activity.	supply chains.	loss, waste and poor animal welfare.
	Levers for C	hange (proxy indicators)	
Infrastructure	The Council works to prevent the	The Council maps redundant retail	The Council maps green and
& planning	people cannot access affordable	available to new food enterprises, for	food growing, composting and local
	healthy food within 500m) and food	example through use of meanwhile	food processing and distribution and
	swamps (where the high street is	and special leases and business rates	makes them available to local
	dominated by fast food outlets).	reductions and holidays.	communities.
	The Council/city protects and/or re	establishes vital local sustainable food inf	rastructure, such as Grade 1 and 2
	agricultural land, local proce	essing and wholesale businesses, food hub	os and distribution networks.





Conceptual framework

SUSTAINABILITY GOALS	GOVERNANCE	HEALTH (Wellbeing)	ECONOMY	ENVIRONMENT	
META INDICATORS (Outcomes)]
		PARTNERSHIPS &	COLLABORATION		
LEVERS		POLICIES &	STRATEGIES		
FOR		INFRASTI	RUCTURE		
CHANGE (Proxy indicators)		PUBLIC SERVIC	ES & SUPPORT		
		KNOWLEDGE	& AWARENESS		
		MARKET BASEL	D MECHANISMS	CAL	2 DIFF
EVIDENCE	PU	BLISHED RESEARCH, ADVISOR	RY DOCUMENTS & CASE STU	DIES UNIX	VERSITY
				PRIF	nsgol RDY₽



Evidence

Dimension	Health	Economy	Environment
Goal	Improving physical and menta health and wellbeing by reducing food poverty; improving access to affordable healthy food; promoting healthy weight and healthy diets and increasing participation is food related physical and social	Creating new and sustainable jobs and businesses as part of a vibrant, cultural diverse and prosperous local food econor that provides fair and equitable econor benefits to all actors involved in both loc and global supply chains.	nd Reducing the negative ecological and ethical impacts of the food system from production, processing and distribution to consumption and waste, including GHG emissions, soil and water degradation, biodiversity loss, waste and poor animal welfare.
	Levers	for Change (proxy indicators)	
Infrastructure & planning	The Council works to prevent the development of food deserts (where people cannot access affordable healthy food within 500m) and food swamps (where the high street is dominated by fast food outlets).	7a The Council maps redundant 9a 10 3a retail and brownfield sites and 52a 3a nakes them available to new 52a 4b tood enterprises, for example 52a 4c through use of meanwhile and 55a 5b special leases and business 55a 7a The Council maps redundant 9a 10 5c through use of meanwhile and 52a 5c special leases and business 55a 7a rates reductions and holidays. 55a	The Council maps green and brownfield sites that could be used for food growing, composting and local food processing and distribution and makes them available to local communities.
	The Council/city protects and/or re agricultural land, local processing	e-establishes vital local sustainable food infra and wholesale businesses, food hubs and d	astructure, such as Grade 1 and 2 30a istribution networks. CS18 CS19



Evidence

1. Fabian Commission. October 2015. 'Hungry for Change. The final report of the Fabian Commission on Food and Poverty'. Fabian Society Report. 44 pages <u>http://www.fabians.org.uk/wp-</u>

content/uploads/2015/10/Hungry-for-Change-web-27.10.pdf

1c The report recommends that 'Local authorities should establish food access plans that will identify any physical barriers to affordable, sustainable, nutritious food in their area and develop an action plan to overcome them. Local authorities should build on the work already being done by the Sustainable Food Cities Network to boost access to affordable, nutritious food in local areas.' It specifically looks at the need to address physical inabilities to access a sufficient quantity and quality of food' (p14) and the problem of 'fat swamps' in urban areas (p16).

7.Lawson Health Research Institute. "Children's nutrition linked to surrounding food environment" via website of *News Medical*. Accessed on 22nd June 2016 <u>http://www.news-</u>

medical.net/news/20160621/Childrene28099s-nutrition-linked-to-surrounding-food-environment.aspx

7a The Canadian study using GPS technology 'showed that exposure to junk food outlets had a significant effect on a child's likelihood of making a junk food purchase' and that therefore 'bylaws and policies should be enacted that restrict the concentration of junk food outlets around schools.'





Case studies

CS13. <u>London Borough of Waltham Forest</u> has adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance to deny planning permission to new fast food outlets within 400 metres of schools.

CS14. <u>Leicester City Council</u> introduced a Street Trading Policy to prevent burger vans trading outside schools.





What we aim to achieve with this toolbox

Aim 1: For local food practitioners:

- o to make the case for their local food programme
- o to help plan and evaluate their work

Aim 2: For local authorities or commissioners:

 to have a robust and comprehensive collation of evidence showing the impact of local cross-sector sustainable food programmes





4 – Measuring impact: a work in progress

Dr. Ana Moragues Faus





Key considerations

- Bottom-up process:
 - Place-based
 - Tool for action
- Complexity
 - Measurements
 - Impact
 - Cities, municipalities are not contained entities







Moving forward

- Integration feedback and results from Bristol and Cardiff
- Link to different processes
- Communication
- Resources: citizen science
- Integrate diversity and lived experiences







Contact us

Dr. Ana Moragues Faus, Cardiff University MoraguesFausA1@cardiff.ac.uk

Alizee Marceau, Sustainable Food Cities amarceau@soilassociation.org

